

State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Ted Stewart
Executive Director
James W. Carter
Division Director

355 West North Temple 3 Triad Center, Suite 350 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203 801-538-5340 801-359-3940 (Fax) 801-538-5319 (TDD)

July 10, 1995

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT P 074 978 934

E. B. King Jumbo Mining Company 6305 Fern Spring Cove Austin, Texas 78730

Re: <u>Topsoiling Deficiency Resolution & Interim Reclamation Surety, Jumbo Mining</u> Company, Drum Mine, <u>M/027/007</u>, <u>Millard County, Utah</u>

Dear Mr. King:

Please accept our apology for the unforeseen delay in providing a more timely response to your recent topsoil replacement proposal. On March 9, 1995, Division and BLM staff met with Mr. Dave Hartshorn of Jumbo Mining Company (JMC) to perform a joint site inspection of the Drum Mine site. The inspection was conducted to evaluate several proposed topsoil borrow areas that JMC has identified to resolve the outstanding topsoil permitting deficiency.

During our inspection of the proposed borrow areas, it became evident that a sufficient volume of substitute topsoil material is available to make up the permitting deficiency. Mr. Hartshorn indicated he did not determine whether more than the required 55,000 cu. yd. volume of topsoil material was available. He agreed there is probably more topsoil material available from the borrow sites than what is presently required. Because it is unclear whether the existing heaps and associated disturbed areas were actually constructed as originally designed and approved under Western States Minerals Company's (WSMC) permit, it is possible that additional topsoil material may ultimately be needed to successfully reclaim the mine site disturbances. Accordingly, the Division encourages JMC to consider stockpiling additional topsoil material to assist in their final reclamation efforts. The Division and BLM jointly support the use of the proposed topsoil borrow areas for JMC's use in making up the topsoil deficiency.

As a condition to our approval of the topsoil borrow areas, the Division will require JMC to clearly mark/identify the borrow areas on the ground such that there will be little

Page 2 E. B. King M/027/007 July 10, 1995

chance that the borrow areas will be adversely impacted during the continued period of suspended operations. Before beginning mining and processing operations that could potentially impact the borrow areas, the substitute topsoil material must be stripped and stockpiled.

An updated surface facilities map must be provided identifying where the salvaged topsoil material will be placed. The map should be labelled with the approximate volume of topsoil in each stockpile. Upon final reclamation of the mine site, all stockpiled topsoil material must be analyzed for the following constituents to determine basic soil fertility and the need for soil amendments: Texture, % Organic matter, pH, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Potassium. The results of the analytical tests will determine which soil amendments may be required to enhance the soil fertility and revegetative success.

The Division agreed to provide Jumbo Mining Company with a written assessment of our findings following our onsite inspection. We have also evaluated your draft reclamation cost estimate for stripping and stockpiling this borrow/topsoil material. A preliminary interim reclamation surety estimate has been prepared (see attachment). This estimate is based upon an escalation of the original approved WSMC surety estimate and JMC's subsequent supplemental permitting amendments to the Drum Mine permit.

The original WSMC estimate has been escalated from 1984 through 1994 using actual Means Historic Cost indices. Escalation adjustments have also been made to JMC's 1989 reclamation estimates, which involve other areas that were permitted or proposed to be included under the existing Drum Mine permit. A five year future escalation of the calculated 1995 interim surety amount totals \$425,200 (year 2000 dollars). The Division and BLM reserve the right to increase or decrease this interim surety amount as pertinent circumstances, permitting conditions and/or mine plan modifications require requisite surety adjustments.

In a March 25, 1992 response letter, JMC agreed to a stipulation/condition contained in DOGM's January 14, 1992 - 2nd technical review of the Mizpah Pit and New Heap permit amendments. The condition required JMC to provide an updated, reformatted and consolidated mining and reclamation plan/permit application. This updated plan would include all previous and subsequently approved Drum Mine permit revisions and amendments, within 6 months following DOGM's approval of the New Heap and Mizpah Pit permit amendments. On August 3, 1992, DOGM issued a conditional tentative approval for both amendments. To date, we have not received a sufficient response from JMC which would enable us to issue our final approval of these amendments. Therefore, these amendments remain unapproved.

Page 3 E. B. King M/027/007 July 10, 1995

Enclosed is a new permit transfer form that will need to be signed by JMC and Western States Minerals Company (WSMC), notarized and returned to the Division. The new form will affectively transfer all of the remaining permitting and reclamation responsibilities for the entire Drum Mine property from WSMC to JMC. The transfer form cannot be approved by the Division until a replacement surety is approved by the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining.

A new Reclamation Contract (FORM MR-RC) will need to be completed and returned along with the new surety. A copy of this form is enclosed. Please let us know what form of surety you wish to post, so that the appropriate surety forms can be sent to you. Because of the federal lands involved, the reclamation surety will need to be made jointly payable to Utah Department of Natural Resources, DOGM and the U.S. Department of the Interior, BLM.

Thank you for your patience and cooperation in completing this permitting action. Please contact me or D. Wayne Hedberg of the Minerals staff if you have questions or concerns in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lowell P. Braxton

Associate Director, Mining

Source P Brayton

ib

Attachment: reclamation surety estimate

Enclosures: FORM MR-TRL, FORM MR-RC & Guideline

pc (w/attachment):

Rody Cox, BLM, Warm Spring RA Lee Foreman, WSMC counsel David Hartshorn, Jumbo - Drum Mine

Don Ostler, DWQ

M027007.tps

Buzz Gerrick, Wome - Nevada

RECLAMATION ESTIMATE

Drum Mine [entire site]

Jumbo Mining Company

last revision

06/26/95 page 'COMBO'

Millard County

filename DRUM95.WQ2

Prepared by Utah State Division of Oil, Gas & Mining M/027/007

Details of Final Reclamation

This estimate ASSUMES WSMC's site responsibility is correct in the DOGM May 22, 1989 estimate DOGM has adjusted the May 22, 1989 estimate to account for 55,000 CY of topsoil replacement This estimate does not include the proposed amendment of Heap 2LG & 7 into Jumbo's plan WSMC'S portion of Drum Mine-LG1/LG2/LG3/HG6/HG7/WASTE 10.6/WASTE 3.6/WASTE 5.2 This estimate includes recent topsoil survey information as resolving the topsoil deficiency This mine site was previously split into two permits with separate reclamation sureties Western States Minerals Co. had a bond covering 42 acres in the amount of \$264,080 Western States' entire bond was retained until a topsoil deficiency could be resolved This estimate adjusts all previous DOGM estimates to be in current dollars and then A Colorado Court ruling required Jumbo to assume responsibility for the entire site Jumbo had a separate bond covering 84 + 11 acres in the amount of \$162,000 escalates the current subtotal for five years into the future

Jumbo portion of Drum Mine-HG1/HG2/HG3/HG4&5/NORTH RIDGE PIT/SOUTHERN EXTENSION PIT/ WASTE DUMP 1.5/POWDER MAGAZINE/TRUCK POND/TOPSOIL STOCKPILE/SOLUTION PONDS/ OFFICE/OIL STATION/WATER TANK: \$130,230 1989-\$

-Jumbo - Alto/Keystone/Monarch/Ibex: \$16,290 1988-\$

-Jumbo-Mizpah Pit: \$33,358 1992-\$; AND New Heap \$50,401 1992-\$ ==> \$83,759 1992-\$

Escalation factors through 1994 are actual Means Historical Cost Indices

WSMC'S PORTION OF ORIGINAL ENTIRE S	ENTIRE	SITE		JUMBO'S PORTION OF ENTIRE SITE	F ENTIRE SI	Щ
DOGM ADJUSTED EST.	\$96,329			1989-\$ \$130,230	0	
-Total disturbed area =		42.00	ACRES	-Total disturbed area =	83.90	ACRES
CALCULATIONS		ESCAL	BOND	CALCULATIONS	ESCAL	BOND
	띳	FACTOR	AMOUNT	YR	FACTOR	AMOUNT
	1985	0.0290	0\$	1985	5 0.0290	80
F = P(1 + i)**n	1986	0.0210	0\$	1986		9
	1987	0.0195	\$0	1987		9
F = Future Sum	1988	0.0181	\$0	1988		· (/)
P = Present Sum	1989	0.0177	\$96,329	1989		\$130,20
i = Escalation Factor	1990	0.0077	\$97,071	1990		\$131,233
n = number of periods	1991	0.0127	\$98,304	1991		\$132,899
	1992	0.0221	\$100,476	1992		\$135,837
	1993	0.0261	\$103,098	1993		\$139,382
	1994	0.0321	\$106,408	1994		\$143,856
	1995	0.0268	\$109,260	1995		\$147.711
Three Yr Average = 2.68%	1996	0.0268	\$112,188	1996		\$151,670
Used to Project 5 Yrs	1997	0.0268	\$115,194	1997	7 0.0268	\$155,735
Into the Future	1998	0.0268	\$118,282	1998	9 0.0268	\$159,908
From the Year 1995	1999	0.0268	\$121,452	1999	9 0.0268	\$164,194
	2000	0.0268	\$124,707	2000	0.0268	\$168,594
Updated Surety Amount Rounded (2000 \$) Average cost per acre =	(2000 \$)	2,969	\$124,700 (\$/ACRE)	Updated Surety Amount Rounded (\$168,f Average cost per acre = 2,010 (\$/ACR ₁	nt Rounded (= 2,010	\$168,f (\$/ACR _{L,}

ί	×	ر ا	l
֡֝֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜	I	2 2 2	
֡֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜	ĭ	<u> </u>	
3		5	
į	ì	ì	۱
4	_	-	۱
; ()			
()(1)	Z - // > 1 ×/	こうしょく	
ĺ		こうしょこうしょ	
()(1):			
()(1):		こうこうこうこうこう	

JUMBO-MIZPAH PIT & NEW HEAP

1988-\$	\$16,290			1992-\$ \$83,759		
-Total disturbed area =		11.00	ACRES	-Total disturbed area =	36.40	ACRES
CALCULATIONS		ESCAL	BOND	CALCULATIONS	ESCAL	BOND
	띩	FACTOR	AMOUNT	AY.	FACTOR	AMOUNT
	1988	0.0181	\$16,290	1988	0.0181	\$83,759
F = P(1 + i)**n	1989	0.0177	\$16,578	1989	0.0177	\$85,242
	1990	0.0077	\$16,706	1990	0.0077	\$85,898
F = Future Sum	1991	0.0127	\$16,918	1991	0.0127	\$86,989
P = Present Sum	1992	0.0221	\$17,292	1992	0.0221	\$88,911
i = Escalation Factor	1993	0.0261	\$17,743	1993	0.0261	\$91,2
n = number of periods	1994	0.0321	\$18,313	1994	0.0321	\$94,1
	1995	0.0268	\$18,804	1995	0.0268	\$96,684
Three Yr Average = 2.68%	1996	0.0268	\$19,308	1996	0.0268	\$99,275
Used to Project 5 Yrs	1997	0.0268	\$19,825	1997	0.0268	\$101,936
Into the Future	1998	0.0268	\$20,356	1998	0.0268	\$104,667
From the Year 1995	1999	0.0268	\$20,902	1999	0.0268	\$107,473
	2000	0.0268	\$21,462	2000	0.0268	\$110,353
Updated Surety Amount Rounded (2000 \$) Average cost per acre =	nded (2000 \$)	1,955	\$21,500 (\$/ACRE)	Updated Surety Amount Rounded (\$110,400 Average cost per acre = 3,033 (\$/ACRE)	Rounded (3,033	\$110,400 (\$/ACRE)

\$425,200

COMBINED TOTAL IN 2000-\$ IS